IRAQ

030056 CÂBİR el-CU`FÎ

341-345

Muḥammad b. al-Ḥanafiyya. $^{47}$  – A second disciple $^{48}$  was more famous but at least just as colourful a figure:

Jābir b. Yazīd b. al-Ḥārith 'Abd Yaghūth al-Ju'fī,

a South-Arab from the tribal federation of the Madhḥij<sup>49</sup> who according to the majority of the sources died in the year 128/745.<sup>50</sup> Thus he had lived in the time of Muḥammad al-Bāqir and attached himself to the circles in Kūfa that expected their salvation from the latter. Consequently, the later Imāmite tradition could no longer do without him. He also left behind several historical monographs which were dear to the Shīʿites: on the Battle of the Camel, on Ṣiffīn, on Nahrawān, on the murder of ʿAlī and the death of Ḥusayn; Naṣr b. Muzāḥim quoted extensively from the K. Ṣiffīn. Even Sunṇī authorities who did not deny their sympathy for ʿAlī, such as Sufyān al-Thawrī or Shuʿba had recourse to him; Abū Dāwūd, Tirmidhī and Ibn Māja in this way took over some ḥadūths from him. Bukhārī and Muslim, however, did not trust him.

In fact, in the confused brains of Bāqir's Kūfan disciples so many fantasy constructs sprung up that neither accorded with Sunnī nor with orthodox Shī'ite ideas and later became a burden to Jābir's legacy. Attractively narrated miracle stories are among them, fairy tales from the spirit world or about bewitched pots with which ordinary folk among whom they circulated confirmed the supernatural powers of the Imams. <sup>54</sup> Later, prophecies with the *isnād Jābir 'an Bāqir* also sold well. <sup>55</sup> But alongside them are found gnostic speculations which continue to proliferate more and more. Muḥammad and his descendants become understood as pre-existing beings that previous to

<sup>47</sup> Nu'mānī, Ghayba 290 f., no. 7.

<sup>48</sup> U. Sezgin, Abū Mihnaf 134.

<sup>49</sup> Not their mawlā as Halm maintains, Gnosis 97 f. and in: Der Islam 58/1981/29, ftn. 52.

Cf. with this and what follows the article by Madelung in E1<sup>2</sup>, Suppl. 232 f. Sometimes one finds completely fantastical death dates such as 167 (in *Mīzān* 1, 384, l. 8) or 166 (in Damīrī, *Ḥayāt al-ḥayawān* 1, 323, l. 21).

Najāshī 94, ll. 11 ff.; on this GAS 1/307 and Prozorov, *Istoričeskaya literatura* 46 ff. Quotations from the *K. Maqtal Husayn* for instance in Abū'l-Faraj, *Maqātil* 83, l. 9; 85, ll. 5 f. and last l. ff.

Cf. Waq'at Ṣiffīn, Index s. n.; on this U. Sezgin, Abū Miḥnaf 133 ff. Petersen, 'Alī and Mu'āwiya 62 f., draws attention to the fact that Jābir here stands out through forged eyewitness accounts.

<sup>53 &#</sup>x27;Uqaylī, *Du'afā'* 1, 191 ff., no. 240, and *Mīzān* no. 1425; cf. also Halm, *Gnosis* 96.

On this in detail Halm, Gnosis 101 ff.

<sup>55</sup> Magātil 542, ll. 7 ff., connected with the year 199, i.e. from the time of Abū'l-Sarāyā.