Ananiasz Zajączkowski: Najstarsza wersja turecka Husräv u Šīrīn Qutba. Część i-ii. (Prace Orientalistyczne, Tom vi, viii.) 304 pp., 11 plates; ix, 238 pp. Warszawa: Polska Aka-

demia Nauk. Komitet Orientalisty-

czny, 1958. Zł. 31, 48.

Qutb's version, the earliest in a Turkish language, of Nizāmi's 'Khosraw and Shirin' was composed in 1341 or 1342, the years of the short reign of Tini Beg, Khan of the Golden Horde, to whom it is dedicated. Though Qutb's homeland is unknown, the language in which his work is written closely resembles that characteristic of the fourteenth-century Khwarezmian-Turkish works, so that Dr. Eckmann discusses it along with them in his pioneering chapter 'Das Chwarezmtürkische' in the Fundamenta.

Professor Zajączkowski has already published a series of preliminary studies (surveyed by J. Rypka in *OLZ*, LV, 1960, cols. 175-8) on various aspects of the work—its vocabulary, its literary and cultural importance, and its relation to its model; he now undertakes the publication of an exhaustive edition. These two volumes give the full text in transcription, and the facsimile of the unique MS (Paris, a. f. turcs 312), which was copied in Alexandria in 1383 by a certain Berke Faqih. They are to be followed by three more, comprising a glossary, an analysis of the grammar, and a discussion of the literary qualities of the work.

The transcription is made with the same scrupulous fidelity to the original which characterizes all Professor Zajączkowski's publications. Perhaps indeed on this occasion he has been too conservative in making emendations and too rigid in his transliteration, for his transcription gives a much poorer impression than is justified of Qutb's abilities as a prosodist.

Some of the faults of prosody in the text can certainly be laid at the door of the copyist Berke. Not only was he copying a text written in a dialect different from his own, but the passage of 51 couplets, his own composition, which he appended to his transcript of the text, reveals how little he was at home with the rules of ' $ar\bar{u}z$ ': although a few of his lines can be read as $mutaq\bar{a}rib$, they fall on the whole only into a Turkish syllabic metre, on the pattern 6+5. Qutb's translation, on the

Nissev a Siria L

BSOAS. XXIII.C. 5.593-591

14 TEMMUZ 1992

- Hüsren in Sirin (Kutup'un esezi)

The Bile North Reserved to the Shirt The State of the Sta

105 081677 HÚSREV V ŠÍRÍN

HACIEMİNOĞLU, Dr. M. Necmettin : Kutb'un Husrev-ü Şirin'i ve dil hususiyetleri. [İstanbul] 1968 Edebiyat Fakültesi Matbaası. XIV+477 s. 8° F. 53.90 TL.

"İstanbul Üniversitesi Yayınlarından Nu. 1370" "Edebiyat Fakültesi Türk Dili ve Edebiyatı Bölümü" Bibliyografya: s. 461-466

nü" 1998:

3516 Ibatov, Arsen M.: Kutbtyn »Husrau ua Širin« poemasynyn sözdígi: (XIV gasyr) / A. Ibatov. - Alma Ata: Gylym, 1974. - 277 S. Inhaltsangabe: Wörterbuch d. Gedichts »Chusrov u. Širin«. - Parallelsacht.: Siovar poemy Kutba »Chusrov i Širin«. in kyrili. Schr., kasach.

03 OCAK 1994

NADZHIP, E.N.

"Khosrau i Shirin" Kutba i ego yazuik. Tyurkolog. sbornik A.N. Kononova, 1966, pp. 80-91

- Hüsren & Storn (Kutubin)

- Hösrev & Pirm

0 7 TEMMUZ 1992

11335 ZAJACZKOWSKI, ANANIASZ. "Opisy zaloby (jas) w tureckiej versiji poematu *Husrev u Širin ze* Zlotej Ordy." *Rocznik* O. (Warsaw) 21 (1957) 517-26.

81677 - Hüsser in firm (Kutuh)

2.44- Kutb'un Hüsrev ü Şirin'i ve Dil Hususiyetleri, Necmettin Hacıeminoğlu, İstanbul 1968.

: KUTO (?) (4pm) Hosser a Stra

7127 ZAJACZOWSKI, A. Die früheste türkische Version des Werkes Husrev u Sirin von Qutb. 24 Int. Cong.Or., 1957, pp. 412-417

Internationale Congress Orientaling

8006 ZAJĄCZKOWSKI, A. Studia nad stylistyką i poetyką tureckiej wersji stylistyką i poetyką tureckiej wersji Husräv u Sīrīn Qutba. RO 25 (1961), pp. 31-82; 27 (1963), pp. 7-44

Hüsre a from

3.67- Kutb'un Hüsrev ü Şirin Yazmasında Bulunan Kayıtlar, E.İ. Fazılov, TDED. XXI, (İstanbul1975): 89-96.

0 6 NAZIRAN 1996

Haire ofinin

2.34- Hüsrev ü Şirin, A. Zajaczkowski, Varşova 1963 (Tıpkıbasım).

THE WATER TO SE

25714 Zajaçzkowski, A. Zabytek jezykowy ze Złotej Ordy, Husrev u Sīrīn Qutba (wstepny opis: Bibliothèque Nationale, MSS. Turcs, A.F.312). RO 19 (1954), pp. 45-123

Husrey & Sprin

on the Hungarian folkmusic was greater than the Turkish influence on the Hungarian language. Therefore the comparison of the Chuvash and Hungarian folkmusic is of great historical importance. For this reason the study of the Chuvash folkmusic is essential. It can only but hoped that the Tatar and Bashkir collections of the same authors will be published soon and enable to make a comparative study on the history of the folkmusic of all Turkish peoples in the Volga region. This will help to solve some aspects of the interrelationship among the Volga Turkish and Finno-Ugrian peoples.

A. Róna-Tas

A. IYBATOV, Quibting affusraw wa Širins poėmasining sözdigi (XIV gasir). Qazaq SSR-ning agilims baspasi, Almati 1974, 279 pp.

The findings made so far confirm the fact that the oldest Turkic literary piece, written in the time and area of the Golden Horde, was the epic poem Husraw u Širin by Qutb. This work not only has a significant position among the Turkic linguistic records, but as the first Turkic translation of the similarly entitled work of Nizāmī (altogether 21 translations have been revealed so far), it is also of outstanding importance regarding Turkic literary history. Qutb's poem of 4730 couplets, written in 1341-42, did not survive in the original version, only the manuscript of its translation compiled in Egypt in 1383 by the fagih Berke of Kipchak origin. which is available today in the Bibliothèque Nationale in Paris under the reference Mss. Tures, Anc. F. 312. The facsimile and the text of the manuscript were first edited by A. Zajączkowski, who was also the first to publish the record's vocabulary (Naistarsza wersja turecka Husräv u Širin Quţba. Część I, Tekst, Warszawa 1958; Część II, Facsimile, Warszawa 1958; Część III, Słownik, Warszawa 1961). A. Zajączkowski deserves eternal credit for his research into

this outstanding literary and linguistic remnant of the Golden Horde, because so far Turcologists relied almost exclusively on his editions to support their research, nevertheless it is a fact that he could not accomplish the complete scientific examination of this work from both the literary, historical, cultural historical, and linguistic aspects.

M. N. Hacieminoğlu is the scholar who made a thorough and precise philological analysis of this record from the linguistic viewpoint. He corrected several of Zajączkowski's mistakes and errors, summarized the major orthographic, phonetic and morphological features of the poem and reedited its complete text (Kutb'un Husrev & Şirin'i ve Dil Hususiyetleri, İstanbul 1968, 477 pp.).

M. N. Hacieminoğlu's very helpful volume could have earned even more credit if the author had published the complete vocabulary of the record, thus supplementing A. Zajączkowski's rather out-dated vocabulary of hardly half of the words in the record. This gap has been recently bridged by the valuable dictionary of A. Tybatov, a researcher of the Koman-Kipchak linguistic records in Alma-Ata, whose work comprises the entire vocabulary of Qutb's poem Husräw u Šīrīn in about 4.410 entries.

In the introduction, A. Tybatov gives a detailed analysis of the history of this record, the major philological problems and the positions of researchers -A. N. Samoilovič, A. Zajączkowski, A. M. Ščerbak, E. N. Nadžip, E. Fazylov, N. A. Baskakov - concerning the nature of the language of the Husräw u Šīrīn (pp. 9-18). He points out that former classifications rested either solely on phonetic or lexical aspects. All the researchers working on a phonetic basis agreed that the language of the Husraw u Širin belongs to the d group of Middle Turkic, or at least it manifests several features characteristic of the Middle Turkic literary language, while some of those who took the lexicon as their starting-point regard the language of

this record as Kipchak, or Oguz, or as a emixtures (dialect) of the Kipchak-Oguz or the Oguz-Kipchak languages, depending on which language's (dialect's) lexical influence is thought to be dominant in the vocabulary of the record. He correctly states that the only way to decide on the classification of its language is to analyze all the phonetic, morphological and lexical characteristics at the same time. The author selected a morphological phenomenon seemingly suitable for distinguishing the language from others: calculating the frequency of the accusative suffix attached to the 3rd person sing, genitive suffix, he attempted to prove that the language of the Husraw u Šīrīn is morphologically nearest to the Kipchak tongue, because -i + n, -i + n, characteristic of Kipchak tongues, has 538 occurrences in the text. $-\ddot{i} + n\ddot{i}$, $-\dot{i} + n\dot{i}$, a feature of Oguz and Qarluq languages, has 211, and similarly. $-s\ddot{i} + n$, $-s\dot{i} + n$ has 38, while $-s\ddot{i} + n\ddot{i}$, -si + ni only 9 (pp. 17-18). The data seem convincing, yet it is impossible to define the character of a language on the basis of a randomly chosen morphological phenomenon; a thorough comparison of all the morphological (and phonetic and lexical) features must be concluded, which, however, is not the task of the author.

: Deroi / Kitap

Kűrüphanede Mevcuttur

It is to the credit of A. Tybatov, that in analyzing the Arabic-Persian words, personal, ethnic and tribal names, patronyms, place names and various derivatives in separate entries, he more than doubled the number of head-words compared to the 1,950 items in A. Zajączkowski's dictionary. The author presents the head-words and the illustrating material partly in the Russian Cyrillic alphabet, partly in the Cyrillic script modified to suit the Kazak tongue. However, it would have been more consistent to use the Kazak Cyrillic script throughout, thereby unifying the transcription of the linguistic material. (It is hard to comprehend why he did not use the Kazak ä for ä, the Kzk. u instead of the Russian u to denote u, the Kzk. w instead of the Russian v to denote w.) The head-word is followed by the abbreviated definition of its grammatical category, the number of occurrences in the text in brackets, then by the meaning given in the Kazak tongue. Then comes a quotation from the text containing the given word with the place of occurrence in brackets, and the exact Kazak translation rounds off the entry. An entry does not include equivalents from other Turkic records or the present Turkic tongues, although it would have amplified the scientific value of his dictionary.

Apparently, the author closely follows the transcription or transliteration of A. Zajączkowski's vocabulary, neglecting the correction of even the most conspicuous mistakes, such as čab-, qab-, qab qadaš, qabsa-, qob-, sab, tab-, tebsi, etc., instead of the correct čap-, qap-, qap qadaš, qapsa-, qop-, sap, tap-, tepsi, etc., or the word ongur instead of the correct form unqur 'cave, pit; den' (cf. Kom.-Kip. of Hungary and Kzk., Kkalp., Kirg. etc. ünggür, ünggir, üngkör 'id.'). Likewise, the form örk- 'to fear' is unwarranted, because the form of the verb both in Old and present Turkic is expressly ürk-. Errors of this sort could have been avoided by comparing the data of the record to other linguistic data, producing the surplus result that several words (e.g. see: čayan 'scorpion'. cf. Kom.-Kip. of Hungary, and Nog., Kzk., Kkalp., Kirg. šayan, čayan 'id.'; but Uigh. čadan, Kāšġ. čadan; the word goltga 'question' with equivalents only in the Kom.-Kip. of Hungary and Kar., or the words alis 'far away' and öte 'particularly, extremely, very', which are extant only in the Kom.-Kip. of Hungary, modern Kzk. and Kirg. apart from the record) would have indicated signs characteristic of either the Kipchak, the Oguz or the Qarlug tongues.

To summarize, the so far most complete vocabulary of Qutb's *Husrāw u Šīrīn* edited by A. Ïybatov is a very thorough and useful volume, especially helpful for scholars whose field of interest encompasses the Koman-Kipchak linguistic records

Arta Orient. Hung. XXXIII. 1979 (sey1; 3), 362-364 (Budapest)

and the language of the Acta Orient. Hung. XXXIII. 1979
Golden Horde, XI'th specall István Mándoky Kongur
emphasis on the language of the
Husraus v Sirin

ONES COTTA COTTORION

23 \1000 2014

که پس از ازبکخان در اردوی زرین بر تخت نشست، اتحاف کرده است. تنها نسخهٔ دستنویس این اثر در کتابخانهٔ ملی پاریس نگهداری میشود. آ. زایاتسکوفسکی این نسخه را با نام باریس نگهداری میشود. آ. زایاتسکوفسکی این نسخه را با نام برسانده است (ورشو، ۱۹۵۸م). افزون بر این، نجمالدین حاجامین اوغلو ترجمهٔ قطب را نسخه برداری کرده و پس از پرداختن به ویژگی های زبانی آن چاپ کرده است (۱۹۶۸م). منابع: اصغر دلبری بور، امقلدان و نظیره بردازان ترک خمسه، مجموعهٔ مقالات کنگرهٔ بین المللی بزرگداشت نهمین سدهٔ تولد حکیم نظامی گنجوی، ۱۹۶۸م؛

Türk edebiyatı ansiklopedisi, 3/781; Türk edebiyatı tarihi, 1/357-358.

محمدى

قلىجارسلان دوم سلجوقى qe.leč.ar.sa.lan-e.do.vom-e.sal.ju)

(qi) عزالدين فرزند مسعود فرزند قبلجارسلان، ـ ٥٨۴ق، فرمانروای سلجوقی آناتولی (۵۵۱ ـ ۵۸۴ ق). در آغاز فرمانروایی اش خواست تا قلمرو سلجوقیان را در آناتولی گسترش دهد. بنابراین، نخست به سرزمینهای دانشمندیان که قلمروشان چند ناحیهٔ مهم را دربرمیگرفت چشم دوخت. ابتدا از امير ذوالنون حكمران قيصريه و ذوالقرنين حكمران ملطيه كه از حكمرانان قلمرو دانشمندیه بودند در برابر محمدشاه دوم دانشمندی حمایت کرد تا میان آنان جدایی افکند. سپس به یشتیبانی از آنها به سرزمین دانشمندیان پورش برد و ملطیه را از آنان گرفت. اما به تهدید یا تطمیع نورالدین محمود زنگی، اتابِگ شام (_ ۵۶۱ ق)، آنجا را به دانشمندیان واگذارد. سپس آق سرای را در نزدیکی سرزمین های دانشمندیان بنا کرد و کاروانسراها و ساختمانهای بسیاری در آنجا برآورد و خود نیز در آنجا اقامت گزید. در همین زمان مانوئل یکم، امیراتور بیزانس (۱۱۴۳ ـ ۱۱۸۰م)، نیز با سپاهش به آناتولی رخنه کرد و قلچارسلان ناگزیر به قسطنطنیه رفت و پس از چندی اقامت در دربار بیزانس با امیراتور سازش کرد. قبلچارسلان به آقسرای بازگشت و دانشمندیان را زیر نظر گرفت تا این که در ۵۶۷ ق که اتابگ نورالدین درگذشت به دانشمندیان پیورش برد و قبلمرو گستردهٔ آنها از ملطیه تا سیواس و قیصریه را پیوست

مثنویهای او است. وی همای و همایون خود را به پیروی از نظامی و خواجوی کرمانی سروده است. مطلعالانواد او نیز به پیروی از مخزنالاسراد نظامی است. قره فضلی کتابی به نام نخلستان به پیروی از گلستان سعدی نوشته و همچنین در تتبع هر خزل حافظ غزلی سروده است. مثنوی گل و بلبل او در ادب عثمانی آوازه دارد و هامر پورگشتال که برخی از آثار او را به آلمانی برگردانده و به اروپاییان شناسانده، این کتاب را هفت ترانه نامیده است. نسخههایی از این اثر به شمارهٔ ۲۵۲۶ در کتابخانهٔ اسعدافندی و به شمارهٔ 507 در کتابخانهٔ اسعدافندی و به شمارهٔ 507 Add. میرود وی منظرمهای به نگدداری می شود. همچنین گمان می رود وی منظرمهای به پیروی از مثنوی نیز سروده باشد. در تذکرهٔ لطیفی از دیوان او سخن گرفته، اما در دیگر تذکرها نامی از آن به میان نیامده است.

منابع: پارسی نویسان آسیای صغیر، ۵۲؛ تذکرة الشعرا، حسن جلبی، ۲۵۴/ ۷۵۴/ ۱ حافظ شیرین سخن، ۷۷۱/۲؛ دفتر کتبخانهٔ اسعدافندی، ۱۴۷ ؛ ذکر جمیل سعدی، ۳۲۶/۳؛ عثمانلی مؤلفلری، ۲۵۹/۲ - ۳۶۰؛ فهرست کتب خطی کتابخانهٔ مجلس شورای اسلامی، ۴۹/۲؛ قاموس الاعلام، ۳۴۱۵/۵ ؛ کشف الظنون، ۲/۳۳۱ ؛ عبدالرحمان ناجی طرقماق، «خسمه سرایان عنمانی»، مجموعهٔ مقالات کننگرهٔ بین المللی بزرگداشت نهمین سدهٔ تولد حکیم نظامی گنجوی، ۳۰۲/۳؛ نگاهی به روند نفوذ و گسترش زبان و ادب فارسی در ترکیه، ۲۷۹ -

A history of Ottoman poetry, 3/108-116; Catalogue of the Turkish manuscripts in the British museum, 177; Islam ansiklopedisi, 4/533-534; Türk dili ve edebiyatı ansiklopedisi, 3/171-172; Türk edebiyatçılar sözlüğü, 219; Türk edebiyatı ansiklopedisi, 2/491, 558; Türk edebiyatı tarihi, 1/597-598.

سارم

قطب (qotb)، سدهٔ هشتم هجری، شاعر کریمه ای. تنها می دانیم که در اردوی زرین در کریمه می زیسته و در سال های ۷۴۲ تا ۷۴۳ق مثنوی خسرو و شیرین نظامی را به ترکی قیچاقی برگردانده است. وی در برگردان این اثر گاهی به توصیف محیط اجتماعی خود نیز پرداخته است. مثلاً خسرو در اثر وی یکی از خوانین اردوی زرین است. وی این اثر را به تینی بیگ و همسرش ملکه خاتون

ادب فارسی در آناتولی و بالکان/ ۲۲۵