47

when he died, Mahmūd succeeded him. However, since Mahmūd was very young, the government was carried on by his tutor, Ḥabash Khān. Meanwhile, another Ḥabashī, Sīdī Badr, nicknamed Dīwāna ("the Mad"), killed Ḥabash Khān and declared himself Regent. He then put to death the young king by winning over the palace guards, and himself ascended the throne of Bengal. Mahmūd had reigned only for about a year.

Bibliography: See that for MAHMUD I. √3. Манми́д III, Gніуатн al-Dīn (940-5/1533-8), ruler of Bengal. He was one of the eighteen sons of Alā al-Dīn Husayn Shāh (899-925/1493-1519) of Bengal, and had been nominated by his elder brother, Nusrat Shāh (925-39/1519-32) as his heir-apparent. But Makhdum-i 'Ālam, his brother-in-law and governor of North Bihar, raised to the throne Nusrat's son, Abu 'l-Badr, with the title of 'Ala' al-Din Firuz. He ruled only for a few months because, in 940/1533, he was put to death by his uncle, Mahmud, who declared himself Sultan and ascended the throne as Ghiyath al-Dīn Maḥmūd III. Makhdūm, however, refused to recognise him and allied himself with Shīr Khān (later Shīr Shāh), whose power was steadily growing. Mahmud, on the other hand, made the mistake of entering into an alliance with the Nuhanis of Bihar, who were weak and without an able leader. The result was that, when in 940/1533 Mahmud sent the Nühānīs with Ķuṭb Khān, governor of Monghyr, against Shīr Khān, Kuth was defeated and killed.

Maḥmūd next sent an army against Makhdūm, who was defeated and slain, as the Nūhānīs were able to prevent Shīr Khān from coming to his assistance. However, the victory did not benefit Maḥmūd because before setting out to fight, Makhdūm had entrusted all his treasure to Shīr Khān's envoy.

Meanwhile, Djalāl Khān, the Nūhānī ruler of Bihār, plotted the assassination of Shīr Khān, but his attempt having failed, he was affected with panic, and crossed over to Bengal with his supporters and sought the protection of Maḥmūd, which was given. Maḥmūd succeeded in occupying Bihār and, in Ramadān 940/March 1534, a strong force under Ibrāhīm Khān moved out of Monghyr and met Shīr Khān on the plain of Suradjgarh, near the town of Barh. But Ibrāhīm was defeated and killed, while Djalāl Khān again fled to Maḥmūd.

Now it was Shīr Khān's turn to retaliate and, taking advantage of Humāyūn's pre-occupation in Gudjarāt, he opened a campaign in 942/1536. Since Maḥmūd had strongly fortified the Teliyāgafhī Pass with Portuguese help, Shīr left behind a detachment under his son, Djalāl Khān, and having made a detour, marched through the Jharkand country and appeared before Gawr, Bengal's capital. Mahmud was taken by surprise. The Portuguese advised him to hold out until the outbreak of the monsoon, when Shīr's retreat could be cut off by their navy. But Maḥmūd was so demoralised that he did not follow their advice and, instead, came to a settlement with Shīr Khān, by agreeing to pay him an annual tribute of ten lacs of tankas and to cede the territory from the river Kosi to Ḥādjīpūr and from Gafhī to Monghyr, which was of considerable importance to the security of Bengal.

Shīr Shāh (who had by now assumed the title of Shāh) was too ambitious to remain satisfied with these gains and, on the pretext of non-payment of tribute by Maḥmūd, invaded Bengal. He entered the Teliyāgafhī Pass, which the Bengalis failed to defend, and laid siege to Gawr. But hearing that Humāyūn had invested Cunār, he at once set out to relieve it,

leaving behind his son Djalāl to continue the siege. Maḥmūd despairing of any outside help, for the Portuguese refused immediate assistance, and being faced with dwindling supplies, sallied out of the fort to give battle. But he was wounded and fled to north Bihār. meanwhile, on 6 Dhu 'l-Ka 'da 944/6 April 1538, the Afghāns captured the fort by an assault. Maḥmūd, now a fugitive, appealed to Humāyūn, who immediately marched towards Gawr. But before he could reach the city, Shīr Shāh had carried away all its treasure. It was on his way to Gawr with Humāyūn that Maḥmūd heard of the murder of his two sons by the Afghāns. This effected him so much that he died soon afterwards.

Maḥmūd was a voluptuary, but the Portuguese account that he had 10,000 women in his harem is an exaggeration. He was incompetent, and inept in the art of diplomacy, lacking courage, tact and imagination. His mistake in antagonising Shīr Shāh and his failure to make an alliance with the Mughals and the Sulṭān of Gudjarāt led not only to his own overthrow but also to the loss of Bengal's independence.

Bibliography: See that for MAHMŪD I; and for the relations of Maḥmūd III with the Afghāns, consult the following: Khwādjā Nicmat Allāh, Tarīhhi Khāni Djahānī, i, ed. Imām al-Dīn, Dacca 1960; 'Abd Allāh, Tarīhhi Dāwūdī, ed. 'Abd al-Rashīd, Aligarh 1954; Ahmad Yādgār, Tarīhhi Salāṭīni Afāghina, ed. Hidāyat Husayn, Bibl. Ind., Calcutta 1939; K. R. Qanungo, Sher Shah and his times, Calcutta 1965; I. H. Siddiqi, History of Sher Shah Sur, Aligarh 1971. (Mohibbul Hasan) MAHMŪD, the name of two of the Dihlī

MAHMUD, the name of two of the Dihlī sultans of mediaeval India.

1. Maḥmūd I, Nāṣir al-Din was the son of Iltutmish (Firishta, i, 70-1; Minhādj-i Sirādj Djūzdjānī, i, 471-2) and not his grandson, as some modern historians have asserted. He ascended the throne on 1 23 Muḥarram 644/10 June 1246 through the joint efforts of Balban [q.v. in Suppl.], and Mahmud's mother. Since Mahmud was weak and of a retiring disposition, devoting himself "to prayers and religious observances", and he owed his throne to Balban, the latter became very powerful. He further strengthened his position by marrying his daughter to the young Sultan and securing the important office of nā ib-i mamlakat and the title of Ulugh Khān (Premier Khān). His younger brother, Sayf al-Dīn Aybak, was given the title of Kashlī Khān and made amīr-i hādjib, while one of his cousins, Shīr Khān, was appointed governor of Lahore and Bhatinda.

In 651/1253, however, a eunuch named 'Imād al-Dīn Rayḥān, who was jealous of Balban, organised a group of discontented Indian Muslims and some Turks and succeeded in persuading the Sulṭān to dismiss Balban and his relations. They were accordingly ordered to leave for their respective ikṭā 's. Balban was replaced by Rayḥān, who now became wakīl-i dar and virtual ruler. Shīr Khān was replaced by Arslān Khān as governor of Lahore and Bhatinda.

Deprived of power and position as a result of these changes, the Turkish element became discontented and organised itself under Balban's leadership to overthrow Rayhān and, in Ramadān 652/October 1254, marched towards Dihlī. Maḥmūd, under the influence of Rayhān and his followers, moved out against the rebels and encamped near Samana. Rayhān wanted an armed conflict, but Maḥmūd refused, because most of his nobles favoured Balban, and agreed on a compromise. Rayhān was dismissed and transferred first to Bahrā'ič and then to Badā'un. Balban was reappointed nā'ib and his